1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MANUEL VILLA, No. C 11-3435 JSW (PR) 12 Petitioner, **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE;** GRANTING LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS 13 VS. STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 14 15 Respondent. (Docket No. 2) 16 17 INTRODUCTION 18 Petitioner, a prisoner of the State of California proceeding pro se, has filed a 19 habeas corpus petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the constitutionality of 20 his state court conviction. This order directs Respondent to show cause why the petition 21 should not be granted, and grants Petitioner's application for leave to proceed in forma 22 pauperis. 23 **BACKGROUND** 24 In 2009, Petitioner was convicted in Monterey County Superior Court of 25 possession of a deadly weapon by a prisoner. The California Court of Appeal affirmed 26 the judgment on appeal, and the California Supreme Court denied a petition for review. 27 Petitioner then filed the instant federal petition. 28

## I <u>Standard of Review</u>

This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." *Id.* § 2243.

**DISCUSSION** 

## II <u>Legal Claims</u>

Petitioner claims: (1) that he received ineffective assistance of counsel on appeal; and (2) that the prosecutor tampered with evidence, in violation of Petitioner's right to due process; and (3) the trial court violated his right to due process by denying him additional time in which to file a motion challenging the use of prior "strike" convictions to enhance his sentence. Liberally construed, these claims are sufficient to warrant a response from Respondent.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

- 1. The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order and the petition, and all attachments thereto, on Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.
- 2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within **ninety** (90) days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11

1

Respondent within **thirty** (30) days of the date the answer is filed.

- 3. Respondent may, within **ninety** (90) days, file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within **thirty** (30) days of the date the motion is filed, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen (15)** days of the date any opposition is filed.
- 4. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
- 5. The application to proceed in forma pauperis (docket number 2) is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 24, 2011

1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	FOR THE		
4	NORTHERN	RN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5			
6	MANUEL VILLA,	Cara Namelan CVIII 02425 ICW	
7	Plaintiff,	Case Number: CV11-03435 JSW	
8	v.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
9	CALIFORNIA STATE OF et al,		
10	Defendant.		
11	-		
12	t, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.		
13	·	two and compat complians of the attached by placing said	
14 15	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope add	true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said dressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing acing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery	
16	receptance rocated in the element office.		
17			
18	Manuel Villa K90355		
19	P.O. Box 1050 Soledad, CA 93960-1050		
20	Dated: August 24, 2011	Janniger Ottolini	
21	Dutod. Magast 21, 2011	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk	
22		By. Jemmer Cuomi, Deputy Clerk	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			